



## Kern Behavioral Health and Recovery Services

Quality Improvement
Work Plan
FY 2023 – 2024

HOPE, HEALING, RECOVERY

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Mission/Vision/Values	3
Kern Quality Assessment and Improvement Program	4
Performance Improvement Projects (PIP)	11
Organization of the Quality Improvement Committee (QIC)	13
Work Plan Goals	40
Goal # 1 24/7 Hotline Test Call	
Goal # 2 Access to After Hours	
Goal #3 Consumer and Family Satisfaction – Consumer Perception Survey (CPS)	
Goal # 4 Consumer and Family Satisfaction – Local Recovery Survey (LRS)	
Goal # 5 Credentialing	
Goal # 7 Foster Care Penetration Rates	
Goal # 8 Grievance & Appeals/Problem Resolution	
Goal # 9 Medication Monitoring	19
Goal # 10 Asian Pacific Islander Service Access	20
Goal # 11 Provider Appeals	20
Goal # 12 DMC-ODS Access Line	20
Goal # 13 DMC-ODS Client and Family Satisfaction Rate - TPS	
Goal # 14 DMC-ODS Assessment Follow Through	20
Goal # 15 MAT Referrals	
Goal # 16 DMC-ODS Latino/Hispanic Penetration Rate	
Goal # 17 DMC-ODS Point in Time Satisfaction Rates	
Goal # 18 UOR – Outpatient MH	
Goal # 19 UOR – Outpatient DMC-ODS	
Goal # 20 MHP UM Review	
Goal # 21 DMC-ODS UM Review	
Goal # 22 Timeliness of Routine Appointment	
Goal # 23 Timeliness of Urgent Appointment	
Goal # 24 Zero Suicide	



#### KERN QUALITY ASSESSMENT AND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Kern Behavioral Health and Recovery Services (KernBHRS) seeks to provide excellence in service through the provision of person-centered, consumer-driven, recovery-oriented, and inclusive behavioral health care services that are integrated with primary health care and seek to address each beneficiary's unique needs. It is our mission to assist individuals with issues of mental health and substance misuse to find solutions to the challenges they face so they may live full and healthy lives.

KernBHRS is committed to continued program development and compliance efforts as detailed in the KernBHRS Quality Assessment and Improvement Program (QAIP) description. The QAIP meets the contractual requirements of the Mental Health Plan contract with Department of Health Care Services (DHCS), as well as the Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System Intergovernmental agreement with DHCS. It also includes areas of performance improvement as identified by the California External Quality Review Organization (CAEQRO).

The QAIP is accountable to the KernBHRS Director who is over the MHP and DMC-ODS service delivery plans. The KernBHRS Director is a licensed mental health professional that is under the authority of the Kern County Board of Supervisors. The development and oversight of the QAIP is managed by the Administrator of the KernBHRS Quality Improvement Division (QID).

#### **REPORTING AND IMPROVING**

A vital component of the QAIP is the annual implementation of the Quality Improvement (QI) Work Plan. The QI Work Plan is the first element within the quality improvement cycle. The QI Work Plan covers the current fiscal year and includes:

- Evidence of monitoring activities including but not limited to review of beneficiary grievances, appeals, expedited appeals, fair hearings, expedited fair hearings, provider appeals, and clinical records review.
- Evidence that QI activities, including performance improvement projects, have contributed to meaningful improvement in clinical care and beneficiary services.
- A description of completed and in-process QI activities including:
  - Monitoring and tracking of previously identified issues
  - o Objectives, scope, and planned QI activities for each year
  - Targeted areas of improvement or change in service delivery or program design
  - Monitoring of Key Performance Standards

- A description of mechanisms implemented to assess the accessibility of services within the service delivery area. This
  includes goals for responsiveness for the 24-hour toll-free telephones number, timeliness for scheduling of routine
  appointments, timeliness of services for urgent conditions, and access to after-hours care.
- Evidence compliance with the requirements for cultural competence and linguistic competence. An annual update to the Cultural Compliance Plan is included as an appendix to the QI Work Plan.

The QI Work Plan is based on the fiscal year and contains goals, objectives, and the responsible party. The impact and effectiveness of the QAPI program is evaluated annually through our Annual Reporting/Work Plan evaluation process. This process helps to prioritize areas for improvement over the upcoming fiscal year. At the conclusion of the fiscal year, each goal and corresponding objectives are evaluated in a report template called KernBHRS Annual Report. The template guides the author through a series of questions designed to evaluate the implementation and outcomes of each specific goal established in the Work Plan. The Quality Improvement Committee evaluates the implementation of the QI Work Plan goals. Each QI Work Plan goal is rated as Met, Not Met, or Partially Met. Each individual Annual Report is compiled into a larger document called the KernBHRS Annual Report and Work Plan Evaluation then submitted to the members of the Quality Improvement Committee (QIC). The committee members review the reports and use the information to establish the QI Work Plan goals for the new fiscal year.

KernBHRS QIC meets Quarterly. During these meetings updates are provided on each of the Work Plan goals. In addition to the Work Plan goal reports, the QIC receives reports on the timeliness of services for both the MHP and DMC-ODS, performance improvement projects, corporate compliance investigations and other areas of interest. The three subcommittees of the QIC meet more frequently allowing QIC members to take a deeper dive into the objectives of the Work Plan and identified performance measures. The QIC subcommittees afford more in-depth discussion and exploration into the work of the QIC.

In addition to the Work Plan goals, Kern incorporates other performance measures and compliance standards into its QAPI. There standards and measures are monitored through the QIC subcommittees. Quality improvement interventions are initiated when there are trends in underperformance or noncompliance. The data is used to monitor the impact of the improvement interventions and reports to the QIC. Whereas Work Plan goals might change every fiscal year during the evaluation of the Work Plan. The QAPI's performance measures and compliance standards only change when determined necessary by the QIC. Below is a list of QAPI performance measures and compliance standards.

Name of Key Performance Indicator	Benchmark/Purpose	MHP or DMC-ODS
7 - Day Inpatient Discharge to Outpatient Appointment	All (100%) clients discharged from the hospital will receive a face-to-face outpatient mental health service within seven (7) calendar days.	MHP
Client Satisfaction Consumer Perception Survey	Achieve a cumulative minimum satisfaction rating of 85% or greater on the Consumer Perception Survey.	MHP
Client Satisfaction Treatment Perception Survey	Achieve a cumulative minimum satisfaction rating of 85% or greater on the Treatment Perception Survey.	DMC-ODS
Initial Request to First Kept Assessment	80% of routine mental-health assessments will be conducted within 14 calendar days (10 business days) of initial request for service.	MHP
Initial Request to First Offered Assessment	All (100%) routine mental-health assessments will be offered within 14 calendar days (10 business days) of initial request for service.	MHP
Inpatient Hospital Recidivism Rate	Less than or equal to 14%. This report tracks the number of clients who return to inpatient within 30 days of discharge.	MHP
No Show Rate - Other Clinicians	No-show rates for other clinical appointments (non-psychiatric) will not exceed 15%.	MHP
No Show Rate - Psychiatric Appointments	No-show rates for psychiatric appointments will not exceed 18%.	MHP
No Show/Cancellation Rate	No-show rates for outpatient appointments will not exceed 60%. No-show rates for NTP and Residential service appointments will not exceed 30%.	DMC-ODS
Penetration Rate	Penetration rates of 4.2 percent or higher in each service area.	MHP
Penetration Rate	The percentage of clients entering the DMC-ODS system stratified by: Age, Race & Gender.	DMC-ODS
Request for Psychiatric Service First Kept	All (100%) first psychiatric services must be scheduled within 21 days (15 business days) of initial request.	MHP
Request for Psychiatric Service First Offered	80% of first psychiatric services must be done within 21 calendars days (15 business days) of initial request.	MHP
Residential Discharge to Lower Level of Care	At least 85% of clients discharged from residential treatment will have a lower level follow up service within 7 days.	DMC-ODS

Residential Recidivism Rate	Less than or equal to 20%. This report tracks the number of clients who return to residential services within 30 days of discharge.	DMC-ODS
	80% routine SUD assessments will be conducted within 14 calendar days (10 business days) of initial request for service.	
Timeliness of Initial Request to First Kept Assessment	NTP: 80% of SUD Assessments will be conducted within 5 days (3 business days).	DMC-ODS
	IV User: 80% of SUD Assessments will be conducted within 7 days (5 business days).	
Timeliness of Initial Request to First Offered- Urgent Appointment	80% of initial requests for an urgent appointment will be scheduled within 48 hours of initial request.	DMC-ODS
	80% of routine SUD assessments will be conducted within 14 calendars days (10 business days) of initial request for service.	
Timeliness of Initial Request to First Offered Assessment	NTP: 80% of SUD Assessments will be conducted within 5 days (3 business days) of initial request for service.	DMC-ODS
	IV User: 80% of SUD Assessments will be conducted within 7 days (5 business days) of initial request for service.	
Timeliness of Services for Urgent Conditions	80% of urgent requests will receive an assessment within 48 hours of the initial request for service.	MHP
Withdrawal Management Recidivism Rate	Less than or equal to 2%. This report tracks the number of clients who return to withdrawal management within 30 days of discharge.	DMC-ODS

The list below is a list of regulatory compliance standards closely monitored through the Regulatory Compliance Committee. This list is not an exhaustive list of standards monitored throughout the system. Kern BHRS has several processes and structures in place for ensuring compliance with all laws and regulations including contract monitoring, site visits, quality monitoring and reviews, documentation reviews and utilization management activities.

TOPIC	COMPLIANCE STANDARD	Application
Change of Provider	100% of MHP change of Provider Requests are addressed.	MH
Corporate Compliance Training	100% of the staff will complete the Corporate Compliance training each year	MH, SUD
Documentation Compliance	95% of MHP documents within the electronic health record will be written according to all DHCS guidance and Clinical guidelines.	MH
Documentation Compliance	SUD teams will achieve a score of 85% or better on their semester progress note reviews.	SUD
Documentation Timeliness	75% of "Routine Services", will be documented in the EHR within 3 business days of the provided service	MH, SUD
Documentation Timeliness	100% of "Crisis Services" will be documented in the EHR within 24 hours of provided service	MH, SUD
Grievance and Appeals	98% of grievance and appeals will be processed according to DHCS policy.	MH, SUD
HIPAA Training	100% of staff will complete the annual HIPAA training each year	MH, SUD
Human Trafficking Training	100% of SUD staff will complete the human trafficking training annually.	SUD
No Show Indicator	100% of the time when using scheduler, and a client does not show up for a scheduled appointment, staff will change the indicator to reflect "No Show."	MH, SUD
NOABD	95% of all MH NOABDs will be provided to a beneficiary upon an adverse determination	MH
NOABD	90% of all SUD NOABDs will be provided to a beneficiary upon an adverse determination	SUD
Privacy and Security Training	100% of the staff will complete the Privacy and Security training each year.	MH, SUD
Privacy Breach Investigation	100% of all Potential Breaches will be reported to CCO within 24 hours of discovery of the event	MH, SUD
Records Request	100% of Client request for records will be processed within 15 days.	MH, SUD
Service Verification	95% of all mental health and substance use services captured in the electronic health record will be an honest and accurate account of a service.	MH, SUD
Title 22 Training	100% of SUD staff will complete the Title 22 training annually	SUD

#### STRUCTURE AND ELEMENTS

The Quality Improvement Committee (QIC) reviews the quality of the service delivery system with the aim of improving the processes of providing care and better meeting the needs of its beneficiaries. The role of the QIC includes:

- Oversight and involvement in QI activities, including Performance Improvement Projects (PIPs)
- Recommends policy decisions
- Reviews and evaluates the results of QI activities
- Institutes needed QI actions
- Ensures follow-up of QI processes
- Documents decisions and actions taken through committee meeting minutes
- Monitoring Performance Standards

The QIC is also referred to as the Executive QIC because it has oversight over three subcommittees. The subcommittees include the System-wide Quality Improvement Committee, the Key Performance Indicator Committee, and the Regulatory Compliance Committee. The QIC subcommittees are a vital element to the oversight and evaluation of compliance efforts and performance outcomes. The vast content reviewed by the QIC limits its ability to track and improve efforts. The QIC subcommittees allow for better tracking and more meaningful change. The Executive QIC and its subcommittees are charged with the following activities:

- Collecting and analyzing data to measure against the goals or prioritized areas of improvement that have been identified
- Identifying opportunities for improvement and deciding which opportunities to pursue
- Identifying relevant committees internal and external to ensure appropriate exchange of information with the QIC
- Obtaining input from providers, beneficiaries, and family members in identifying barriers to delivery of clinical care and administrative services
- Designing and implementing interventions for improving performance
- Measuring effectiveness of the interventions

- Incorporating successful interventions into system operations
- Reviewing beneficiary grievance and appeals, expedited appeals, fair hearing, expedited fair hearing, provider appeals and clinical records review

The QIC and its subcommittees involve providers, beneficiaries, family members, community members and direct service staff in the planning, design, and execution of the QAPI. Having multiple QI subcommittees that feed information up to the Executive Committee allows us to effectively incorporate a variety of perspectives. Recruitment for the subcommittees is based on the targeted audience and described later in this document. It is important to note that the SQIC Committee (one of the three QIC subcommittees) in addition to having a variety of stakeholders as members of the committee, is an open meeting that any member of the community is welcome to attend. The meeting allots a time for public commentary.

The subcommittees make recommendations to the Executive QIC about opportunities for improvement and prioritize the workloads. The subcommittees participate in and delegate the collection of data and analysis of data to measure against goals and prioritized areas of improvements. They obtain information from beneficiaries, family members, in identifying barriers to delivery of clinical care and administrative services and recommend interventions for improving performance. Finally, the subcommittees also recommend policy decisions, review, and report results of monitoring activities, report significant findings to the Executive QIC.

The Quality Improvement Division (QID) is responsible for much of the measuring, monitoring, and reporting required by the QIC and the QI Work Plan. However, performance monitoring is conducted at a variety of levels throughout the system. All performance monitoring and improvement activities conducted by the QID are consistent with current standards of practice in the behavioral health industry. All monitoring activities are designed to improve the access, quality of care, and outcomes of the service delivery system. In addition, the QID monitors system compliance with all regulatory mandates and department standards. Monitoring activities include but are not limited to beneficiary and system outcomes and performance measurements, utilization management, utilization review, provider capacity and utilization monitoring, provider appeals, credentialing and monitoring, and monitoring of the problem resolution process. QID also performs service verification, medication monitoring, performance improvement projects, network adequacy monitoring, client/family perception surveys, documentation compliance reviews, and houses the Corporate Compliance and Privacy Officer. The QID leads system change using various improvement science methodologies such as Lean Six Sigma and PDSA.

The QIC has several other system committees that are tasked with the oversight of specific areas and/or system functions. These committees are not sub-committees of the QIC. However, they provided the QIC regular updates on their improvement activities.

#### These committees include:

- Length of Stay Committee
- Morbidity and Mortality Committee
- Internal Psychiatric Strategy meeting
- Cultural Competency Resource Committee

Full-Service Partnership Committee

The Cultural Competence Plan works synchronously with our Quality Improvement Plan. The goal of the plan is to improve services for all diverse groups. In addition to the state and federal cultural competence requirements, we also integrate requirements specific to our funding, substance use delivery system, and mental health plan.

## **Utilization Management**

Kern BHRS behavioral health plans operate a Utilization Management Program that is under the oversight of the QIC. The utilization management program is designed to ensure Medi-Cal beneficiaries have access to the services they need when they need them. The program includes processes for making utilization decisions and the monitoring of those decisions. It also includes mechanisms for detecting under and over utilization of services. The Utilization Management Program is outlined in a variety of Kern BHRS policies and procedures including an umbrella policy that describes the overarching Utilization Management Program. The Utilization Management Program includes an array of standards and reports monitored through the various QIC committees. Elements of the Utilization Management program are monitored through the Work Plan, the Regulatory Compliance Committee, and the Key Performance Indicator Committee. The UM program evaluates medical necessity, appropriateness and efficiency of services provided to Medi-Cal beneficiaries prospectively and retrospectively.

## **Performance Improvement Projects**

A Performance Improvement Project is a process that involves setting goals, implementing systemic changes, measuring outcomes, and making subsequent appropriate improvements. There is a total of four PIPs, one Clinical and one Non-Clinical for both the Mental Health Plan (MHP) and the Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS). In addition, as part of the Behavioral Health Quality Improvement Plan (BHQIP) issued by the Department of Health Care Services, KernBHRS has added 3 additional performance improvement projects. The current problem statement associated with each PIP is listed below.

The purpose of a PIP is to assess and improve processes and outcomes of treatment provided by KernBHRS. PIPs are presented at the Quality Improvement Committee, and two of the QIC subcommittees, the Key Performance Indicator Committee, and the System Quality Improvement Committee. The ultimate goal of a PIP is to benefit the clients we are serving.

A Performance Improvement Project (PIP) is a process that involves identifying a problem, setting goals to address the problem, implementing systemic changes, measuring outcomes, and making subsequent improvements to process and/or treatment provided by KernBHRS.

There is a total of four PIPs, one Clinical and one Non-Clinical for both the Mental Health Plan (MHP) and the Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS). In addition, as part of the Behavioral Health Quality Improvement Plan (BHQIP) issued by the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS), KernBHRS has added 3 additional performance improvement projects.

The PIPs are presented regularly at the Quality Improvement Committee (QIC), and two of the QIC subcommittees, the Key Performance Indicator (KPI) Committee and the System Quality Improvement Committee (SQIC).

Each PIP and current Problem Statement is listed in the table below.

Area of Focus	Problem Statement
Mental Health Clinical: Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Psychosis in Youth with Early Onset Psychosis	KernBHRS' Clinical PIP is designed to increase the recognition of psychotic symptoms in youth and ensure these beneficiaries are being linked with the appropriate services to address the psychosis.
Mental Health Non-Clinical: Homelessness- Increasing Access to Psychiatric Care	KernBHRS' Non-Clinical PIP is designed to address the high no show rates for psychiatric appointments in the Homeless Adult Team.
Substance Use Clinical: Dialectic Behavioral Health Skills Training	KernBHRS' Clinical PIP is designed to increase client retention in care, decrease client's risk and relapse.
Substance Use Non-Clinical: Increase Linkage to Lower Level of Care Following Residential Discharge	KernBHRS' Non-Clinical PIP is designed to address the low number of clients linked to lower levels of care following residential treatment discharge.
BHQIP FUA: Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence	There is a lack of real time data exchange between treatment providers (i.e., KernBHRS, EDs and MCPs) resulting in the inability to ensure clients with substance use disorder diagnosis who go to an ED receive the appropriate follow-up treatment after discharge.
BHQIP FUM: Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness	There is a lack of real time data exchange between treatment providers (i.e., KernBHRS, EDs and MCPs) resulting in the inability to ensure clients with mental health diagnosis or suicide attempt who go to an ED receive the appropriate follow-up treatment after discharge.
BHQIP POD: Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder	Although Medications for Opioid Use Disorder (MOUD) is widely used to treat OUD and improve adverse health outcomes, despite its effectiveness in OUD treatment, many clients face barriers engaging in MOUD services.

QIC Sub-Committee

System-Wide Quality Improvement Committee

# KernBHRS QI Program

Management Team

**Executive Quality** 

Improvement

Committee (QIC)

QIC Sub-Committee

Regulatory Compliance Committee QIC Sub-Committee

Key Performance Indicator Committee

### **Executive QIC**

#### **PARTICIPANTS**

- A. Director
- B. Deputy Directors
  - i. Adult Clinical Services
  - ii. Administrative Services
  - iii. Specialty Clinical Services
- C. System of Care Administrators:
  - Adult System of Care
  - ii. Children's System of Care
  - iii. Kern Linkage
  - iv. Crisis Services
  - v. Recovery Support Services
  - vi. Quality Improvement
  - vii. Medical Services
  - viii. Finance Manager
  - ix. IT Manager
  - x. Substance Use Disorder
  - xi. Human Resources Manager
  - xii. Corporate Compliance Officer
  - xiii. Patient Right's Advocate
  - xiv. Ethnic Services
  - xv. Training Services
- D. Members of the Quality Improvement Divisions

#### **DATA REVIEWED**

- A. Subcommittee reports
- B. Work Plan goal quarterly reports
- C. Annual reports
- D. Performance Improvement Projects
- E. Quality Improvement Projects
- F. Structure and process measure reports
- G. Clinical Outcome reports
- H. Evaluation of practice guidelines
- I. Evidence Based fidelity monitoring
- J. Negative outcomes through the Morbidity and Mortality and Unusual Occurrence reports
- K. Safety related data

#### SCOPE / AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY

- A. Participate in and delegate the collection of data and analysis of data to measure against work plan goals and prioritized areas of improvements
- B. Determine policy decisions
- C. Monitor and evaluate results of PIP's
- D. Institute needed quality improvement actions
- E. Ensure follow up of quality improvement processes

- F. Prioritize areas of improvement; identify opportunities for improvement
- G. Ensure appropriate exchange of information
- H. Oversee the design and implementation of interventions to improve performance
- I. Ensure incorporation of successful interventions into operations
- J. Develop and oversee the implementation of the Annual Work Plan
- K. Conduct an annual evaluation of the Work Plan goals.
- L. Conduct an annual evaluation of the QAPI program
- M. Share relevant information with stakeholders and staff
- N. Document minutes including any decisions and actions
- O. Oversee Implementation of practice guidelines

## System-Wide Quality Improvement Committee (SQIC)

#### **PARTICIPANTS**

- A. Behavioral Health Board member(s) (CHAIR)
- B. Direct service staff or designees from all clinical divisions
- C. Ethnic Services Manager
- D. QID staff person(s)
- E. Representative from each contract provider
- F. Consumer Family Learning Center (CFLC) representatives
- G. Clients
- H. Family Members
- I. Community Stakeholders
- J. Members of the public

#### **DATA REVIEWED**

- A. Annual Recovery survey
- B. 24/7 test call reports (Quarterly)
- C. UOR reporting
- D. Annual reports
- E. Satisfaction Surveys
- F. Lean Six Sigma projects
- G. Outcome data analysis
- H. Regulatory compliance efforts
- I. Process and structure measures related to the implementation of the Cultural Competence Plan
- J. Performance Improvement Projects
- K. MHSA Implementation
- L. Monthly Division updates
- M. Progress toward Work Plan goals
- N. Other areas as requested by the committee

#### SCOPE/AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY

- A. Provide feedback to guide system improvement
- B. Make recommendations to Executive QIC
- C. Progress toward Work Plan goals
- D. Identify system improvement opportunities
- E. Incorporate perspectives and feedback from direct service staff, clients, family members, stakeholders, and contract providers.
- F. Hold open meetings advertised to the public.

## **Key Performance Indicator Committee**

#### **PARTICIPANTS**

- A. Director
- B. Deputy Director of Clinical Care
- C. System of Care Administrators and appropriate staff persons
  - ASOC Administrator
  - ii. SUD Administrator
  - Contract System Administrator
  - iv. Children's Administrator
  - v. KLD Administrator
  - vi. Medical Services Administrator
  - vii. Crisis Services Administrator
  - viii. QID Administrator
  - ix. Ethnic Services Manager
  - x. QID Data Analytics staff
  - xi. Clinical Program Supervisors

#### **DATA REVIEWED**

- Flow data review of all clinical service team monthly data reports
- B. Network Adequacy Certification Data
- C. Service Utilization Reports
- D. Penetration Rate Flex Analyses
- E. Timeliness Report
- F. Client Perception Survey (State)
- G. Client Perception Survey (Local)
- H. FSP Quarterly Summary Reports

#### SCOPE/AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY

- A. Key Performance Indicators
- B. Network Adequacy
- C. Performance Improvement Projects
- D. Culturally appropriate services review
- E. Access to Services
- F. Provider Relations
- G. Service Utilization
- H. Service Capacity
- Penetration
- J. Client Perception
- K. Timeliness of Services
- L. Review effectiveness of service measures
- M. Ensure validity and reliability of measures
- N. Clinical Outcomes
- O. Data Governance

## **Regulatory Compliance Committee**

#### **PARTICIPANTS**

- A. Privacy/ Compliance Officer (CHAIR) and staff
- B. Information Security Officer (also the IT Manager)
- C. QID Administrator and designated staff
- D. Clinical Administrators
- E. HR representative
- F. Patient's Rights Supervisor
- G. Appointed Supervisors

#### **DATA REVIEWED**

- A. Policy review related to Compliance, Privacy, and Information Security.
- B. Current Compliance, Privacy and Information Security concerns that require prompt action.
- C. Relevant updates to Compliance, Privacy, and Information Security regulations.
- D. Sequestered chart audits
- E. Service Verification completion
- F. Trends reports related to Privacy breaches
- G. MCPAR submission
- H. MH Site Certifications
- I. Change of Providers
- J. Exclusions
- K. Grievance and Appeal Trends and Updates

#### SCOPE/AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY

- A. Regulatory compliance monitoring results
- B. Service verification
- C. Compliance investigations
- D. Security breaches
- E. HIPPA violations
- F. Relevant trainings
- G. Program Integrity
- H. Risk Management
- I. Exclusions Reporting
- J. Confidentiality/Privacy
- K. Staff Education and Training
- L. Credentialing
- M. Quality Monitoring Results
- N. Documentation Compliance Reviews
- O. Timeliness of documentation compliance reviews
- P. Information Notice implementation efforts
- Q. Beneficiary Protection reports

## **Quality Improvement Work Plan**

For each of the following QI/QM Work Plan areas of concern, data or information will be collected, analyzed, and used to measure against goals and objectives so opportunities for improvement can be identified. Interventions will be designed and implemented to improve performance. Effectiveness of the interventions will be measured, and results will be used to validate or modify practices as appropriate.

Goal #1 <u>24/7 Access Line Calls</u> : The MHP 24/7 access line logs will contain the names, disposition, date, and time of 100% of test calls.	Responsible Party
Objectives  1. Ensure that all calls are logged with accurate information.	QID, DATA Supervisor
Ensure that all DHCS access line standards are met by call takers.	о пр от 1700 г.
Goal #2 Access to After Hours: 90% of service providers will have service available outside of typical service hours (8-5, Mon-Fri).	Responsible Party
Objectives:  1. Improve client accessibility to outpatient care providers	QID Administrator
<ol> <li>Reduce use of emergency and crisis services by increasing availability of outpatient provider.</li> <li>Provide flexible service hours.</li> </ol>	
Goal #3 Consumer & Family Satisfaction - CPS: The Mental Health Plan and its contract providers will achieve a cumulative minimum satisfaction rating of 85% or greater on the Consumer Perception Survey	Responsible Party
Objective: 1. Complete the CPS	QID, Quality Monitoring
<ol> <li>Create flyers for the overall satisfaction rate to post in clinics and online.</li> <li>Complete the PDSA model for any team that is out of compliance.</li> </ol>	Supervisor
Have overall results posted on public website	
Goal #4 Consumer & Family Satisfaction - LRS: The Mental Health Plan and its contract providers will achieve a	Responsible Party
cumulative minimum satisfaction rating of 85% or greater on the annual Local Recovery Survey	
Objective:	QID, Quality
1. Provide additional training to staff to learn techniques and interventions to assist clients and parents who may be more challenging	Monitoring Supervisor
2. Hire additional staff to decrease workload of front desk employees	
<ol> <li>During team meetings discuss importance of positive intentions and unconditional regard.</li> <li>Have overall results posted on public website.</li> </ol>	

Goal #5 Credentialing: 100% of KernBHRS staff and contract provider staff will complete the credentialing process.  Objective: 1. Reduce the process time needed per application by improving team communication. 2. Centralize tracking systems. 3. Develop written procedures to ensure consistent process.	Responsible Party Finance Administrator
Goal #6 Fair Hearing: 100% of State Fair Hearings will be performed within the mandated time frame.	Responsible Party
Objective: 1. Check CDSS Appeals Case Management System website every day. 2. Fair hearing will be completed within the mandated time frames. 3. Improvement efforts will be reports through the QIC	QID, Administrative Coordinator
<b>Goal #7</b> Foster Care Penetration Rates: Increase foster youth penetration rate from 52.49 to 53% (this is a 1% growth rate consistent with our other established penetration rate growth).	Responsible Party
Objective: 1. Work with DHS to ensure they update Medi-Cal aid codes for youth. 2. Work with DHS to support efforts to provide alternative placement options for youth with complex needs. 3. Implement biannual screening for ICC, IHBS, and TFC services for all youth.	Clinical Plan Services Administrator
Goal #8 <u>Grievance &amp; Appeals/Problem Resolution</u> : 98% of all resolved Grievance and Appeals will be resolved within 90 calendar days from receipt of complaint.	Responsible Party  Patients' Rights
<ol> <li>Objective:         <ol> <li>Complete monthly repots to ensure resolutions have been resolved in the prescribed timeframe.</li> <li>Continue to provide training to system of care and contracted providers to improve resolution process and ensure timely responses are submitted.</li> <li>PRA will send out reminders of pending grievances to team supervisors or designated staff resolving grievance and appeals.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	Supervisor
Goal #9 Medication Monitoring: Each prescriber will achieve a combined rating of 85% or higher on peer review medication monitoring evaluation.	Responsible Party
Objective: 1. Complete monthly reviews of prescribers (internal and contracted) utilizing the Medication Monitoring tool. 2. Provide individual and group feedback based on quarterly/ monthly findings. 3. Identify system-wide improvements to support improved medication services to clients. 4. Contractors that have elected to complete their own reviews internally will submit them to QID for processing.	Medical Services Administrator

Goal #10 Asian Pacific Islander Service Access: Increase penetration rate of the Asian Pacific Islander population into MH treatment from 1.25% to 1.5% by implementing culturally specific outreach and engagement strategies.  Objective:  1. Increase member of API community in the CCRC subcommittee 2. Increase outreach and education to those in the API community. 3. Attend already existing API events.	Responsible Party  Department Supports Administrator
<b>Goal #11</b> Provider Appeals: 100% of Provider Appeals will be processed and sent final response within 60 calendar days of appeal.	Responsible Party Finance
<ul> <li>Objective:</li> <li>1. Communicate to providers to make them aware of their right to appeal financial decisions and the process for filing the appeal.</li> <li>2. Respond to any provider appeals in a timely manner to ensure resolution.</li> </ul>	Administrator
Goal #12 <u>DMC-ODS Access Line</u> : 95% of all DMC-ODS access test calls will be given a customer service rating of standard or above.	Responsible Party SUD
Objective: 1. QID will continue to do Quarterly Test Calls. 2. QID will report finding to Ana, Greg, and Alex. 3. QID will work with Greg each quarter to see what improvements were made.	Administrators
Goal #13 DMC-ODS Client and Family Satisfaction Rate - TPS: the Substance Use Division and its contract providers will achieve a cumulative minimum satisfaction rating of 85% or greater on the Treatment Perception Survey.	Responsible Party
Objective: 1. Complete the TPS. 2. Create flyers for the overall satisfaction rate to post in clinics and online. 3. Complete the PDSA model for any team that is out of compliance. 4. Have overall results posted on public website.	- SUD Administrator
Goal #14 DMC-ODS Assessment Follow Through: At least 40% of individuals contacting the SUD treatment access line will attend assessment.	Responsible Party
Objective: 1. DMC-ODS providers will make reminder and engagement calls to scheduled clients. 2. Same day assessments will be offered by the Mini-Assessment Center 3. Outpatient providers can serve walk-ins without waiting for a screening from SUD Access Line	SUD Administrators

Goal #15 MAT Referrals: Kern BHRS and network providers will make over 600 MAT referrals this fiscal year.	Responsible Party
Objective: 1. Hold quarterly meetings with CSV and Omni to continue communication about MAT providers in primary care 2. Educate teams and providers about outpatient MAT programs to increase referrals	SUD Administrator
Goal #16 DMC-ODS Latino/Hispanic Penetration Rate: Increase SUD penetration rate of the Latino/Hispanic population into SUD treatment from .33% to .43% by implementing culturally specific outreach and engagement strategies.  Objective:  1. Increase members of Latino/Hispanic population 2. Increase outreach and education to those in the Latino/Hispanic population 3. Attend already existing events that are occurring with the Latino/Hispanic population.	Responsible Party  Department Supports Administrator
Goal #17 DMC-ODS Point In Time Satisfaction Rates: 9DMC-ODS Client satisfactions ratings at Discharge and Follow up will obtain positive ratings at 85% or higher.  Objective:  1. Conduct PIT survey calls on an ongoing basis. 2. Discuss ways to reduce negative ratings with DMC-ODS providers 3. Provide Technical assistance on client engagement as needed.	Responsible Party SUD Administrator
Goal #18 UOR – Outpatient MH: Decrease the number of aggression/violence incidents reported through the UOR application by 10%.  Objective:  1. Develop training to maximize staff skills at reducing, minimizing aggressive/violent events.  2. Conduct root cause analysis to identify saliant issues.  3. Provide feedback and training to staff following UORs.	Responsible Party  Adult System of Care Administrator
Goal #19 UOR – Outpatient DMC-ODS: Decrease the number of aggression/violence incidents reported through the UOR application by 10%.  Objective:  1. Review baseline data 2. Discuss UORs with incidents of aggression to discuss with providers during bi-monthly meetings 3. Provide technical assistance/training on de-escalation	Responsible Party SUD Administrator

Goal #20 MHP UM Review: 95% of reviewed medical necessity determination on MH assessments will be consistent between assessor and reviewer	Responsible Party  QID,
<ol> <li>Objective:         <ol> <li>Complete Assessment Reviews for all MH teams to ensure appropriate determination of medical necessity.</li> <li>Provide feedback and recommendations to supervisors and administrators if any areas of concerns or improvement are identified during the reviews.</li> <li>Provide Assessment training to all new MH clinicians to ensure everyone is using the same process when determining medical necessity.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	Documentation Compliance Supervisor
Goal # 21 <u>DMC-ODS UM Review</u> : 95% of reviewed medical necessity determination on ASAM assessments will be consistent between assessor and reviewer.	Responsible Party SUD
Objective: 1. Create UM review checklist to align with new assessment form 2. Conduct monthly reviews of assessments 3. Discuss documentation requirements with providers during review.	Administrator
Goal #22 <u>Timeliness of Routine Appointments</u> : 80% of routine initial request for outpatient services will receive a service within 10 business days of the request.	Responsible Party  QID, Data
Objective: 1. Collect monthly tracking logs with accurate timely information entered 2. Develop reporting using the tracking logs 3. Provide reporting to management/division on the outcome of the reporting quarterly	Supervisor
Goal #23 <u>Timeliness of Urgent Appointments</u> : 80% of urgent request will receive a service within 48 hours of the initial request for urgent service.	Responsible Party
Objective: 1. Collect monthly tracking logs with accurate timely information entered 2. Develop reporting using the tracking logs 3. Provide reporting to management/division on the outcome of the reporting quarterly.	QID, Data Supervisor
Goal #24 Zero Suicide: At least one screening per month will be completed for each client on a team's caseload.	Responsible Party
Objective: 1. Screen all clients at all contacts where feasible. 2. Evaluate clients for PATHH level service needs and monitor ongoing. 3. Complete Safety plan, caring contacts, and CALM on each client.	Medical Services Administrator